

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,999	12/29/2000	Ali Najib Saleh	CIS0008C1US	8353
33031 CAMPRELLS	7590 09/12/2007 TEPHENSON LLP		EXAMINER NGUYEN, HANH N	
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE			
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•				
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•		$\varphi_{\mathbf{J}}$
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/751,999	SALEH ET AL.	
-	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hanh Nguyen	2616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. OFF 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. OFF 1.13 OFF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>American</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>38-70,111,113-124,126-137,139-150, Claim(s)</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. 152-163,165-177,179-191,193-2	<u>05 and 207-218</u> is/are re	ejected.
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and a specific and accomposition are specifically accompositely accomposition and accomposition and accomposition are specifically accomposition and accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accomposition and accomposition accomposition accomposition and accomposition	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment filed on 07/02/07 has been entered.

Regarding claims 111, 124, 137, 150, applicant argues that Fukushima et al. does not disclose said at least one node identifies a node in a network for which said sending node seeks link state advertisement. Examiner doe not agree because in Fukushima et al., the network link state information exchanged between two routers include ID of the advertising router (ID of the sending node), identity of the network as well as the address of interface to which the advertising router is connected (sending node seeks link state advertisement to a node in a network; col.1, lines 55-65).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38-70, 111, 113-124, 126-137, 139-150, 152-163, 165-177, 179-191, 193-205, 207-218 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 38 recites the limitation "said protocol packet path information" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

It is suggested that "said protocol packet path information" on line 8 be amended as "said protocol packet path" to avoid lack of antecedent basis and misconception.

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 38-52, 55, 56, 57-68 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Spiegel et al. (US pat. 5,649,108).

*In claim 38, 39, 49, 50, 51, 57, note; the protocol packet is further defined in claim 62 as "a create path packet"; claim 70 as "a configure packet". Therefore, examiner cites "a request /connection setup packet" in Spiegel as one of these packet above. Spiegel et al. discloses a method comprising transmitting a protocol packet from an origin node to neighbors of the origin nodes to find the target node (see ATM network in figure 1, and fig. 4; source node transmits a request /connection setup packet via intermediate nodes to destination node; col.5, line 35 to col.6, line 50); the protocol packet is configured to record a protocol packet path from the origin node to the target node (see fig.3, col.5, lines 62 to col.6, line 15; each a connection setup packet contains source address, destination address (for claim 39), a source route which is a list of nodes that the connection setup packet should pass through, and a record route which is a list of nodes through which the connection has already been established); the protocol packet comprises information regarding a topology of at least a portion of said network (the topology of the at least a portion of network is source address, destination address, VCI (claim 49), cost 35 (claim 50), QOS parameters (claim 51; col.6, lines 45-52); a source route and a record route).

Art Unit: 2616

*In claims 40-48, 52, the limitations of theses claims have been address in claim 38.

*In claim 58, Spiegel et al. discloses protocol packet is restore path packet (see fig.3, step 306; col.5, lines 47-53 or pack message at fig.5, steps 504).

- * In claim 55, Spiegel discloses link state advertisement field (control flag 38; fig.3).
- * In claim 56, Spiegel et al. discloses a neigbor field (destination node 31; fig.3); and a link cost field (see fig.3, cost 35)

*In claim 59, Spiegel et al. discloses a virtual path ID field (see fig.2; forwarding table 20 including VCI identification and fig.3, VCI 32).

*In claim 60, Spiegel et al. discloses a path length field / link cost field (see fig.3, soure route 33, cost 35).

*In claim 62, Spiegel et al. discloses protocol packet is a create path packet (connection/setup path packet; see claim 38).

*In claim 61, Spiegel et al. discloses path array (fig.1 shows spans AB, BD, DF, FG).

*In claims 63 and 65, the limitations of this claim have been addressed in claims 59, 60 and 61.

*In claim 64, Spiegel et al. discloses a delete path packet (see fig.4, step 55; VC connection request is rejected; col.7, lines 50-55).

Claims 66-68 have been addressed in claim 38.

Claims 111, 113-124, 126-137, 139-150, 152-163, 165-177, 179-191, 193-205 and 207-218 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukushima et al. (Pat. 6,490,246 B2).

Art Unit: 2616

In claims 111, 124, 137, 150, Fukushima et al. discloses, a method of processing a get link state advertisement packet comprising receiving the get link state advertisement packet (fig. 8, step 121, receiving a Hello packet/routing protocol packet) at a downstream node (at routers 30; col.10, lines 20-25; fig.1), wherein the get link state advertisement packet (the Hello packet) is sent by a sending node (from router calculating unit 11; fig.2), the get link state advertisement packet comprises at least one node identifier (see col.1, lines 45-50; the hello packet comprises a list of other routers' Ids in the same network); said at least node Id (each router) identifies a node in the network for which the sending node seeks link state advertisement (see col.2, lines 15-20; checks if each of routers has received network link state information and in col.2, lines 27-32, "if there is any other router from which the router has not received hello packet for longer than a fixed period, the router decides that a failure has occurred in this other router"). The downstream node and said sending node are nodes in the network (the two routers are connected to the same network); sending at least one link state advertisement from the down stream node to the sending node (fig.8, steps 122, 124 and fig.9, steps 131, 133; network link state information received from neighbor node); and sending an acknowledgement of the at least one link state advertsement to the downstream node (fig.9, step 135 and fig, 10, step 143, sending update information).

In claims 163, 177, 191 and 205, Fukushima et al. discloses receiving a hello packet at a downstream node, wherein said hello packet comprises a link state advertisement (see col.8, step 121; col.10, lines 20-25; receiving hello packets such as protocol packets); processing said link state advertisement (see fig.8, steps 122, 124; col.10, lines 25-30; was a received hello packet sent from a neighboring router recognized or not; and was link state information received);

Art Unit: 2616

sending link states acknowledgement from the downstream node (see fig.8, step 125, col.10, lines 26-33; if link state information received, notifying the reception to protocol information module).

Claims 113-123, 152-162, 165-176 and 207-218 are rejected because they depend on their parent claims.

Claims 126-136, 139-149, 179-190 and 193-204 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushima et al. (Pat. 6,490,246 B2).

Claims 126-136, 139-149, 179-190 and 193-204 are rejected because they depend on their parent claims 124, 137, 177 and 191 respectively.

Claims 53, 69, 70 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spiegel et al. (US pat. 5,649,108) in view of Fukushima et al. (Pat. 6,490,246 B2).

*In claim 53, Spiegel et al. does not disclose the protocol packet is a hello packet.

Fukushima et al. discloses in figure 8, step 121, the packet received at the node is protocol packet such as hello packet (see col.10, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to transmit protocol/hello packet in Spiegel et al. to update network topology.

In claims 69 and 70, Spiegel does not discloses protocol packet is a link down packet. The office notice notice is taken that it is well-known skill in the art that when a link or a router is down, a protocol packet such as a link down packet is transmitted to the sender router to notify that the router has been down. For the configured packet, Fukushima discloses, in col.2, lines 25-35, that if a router has not received hello packet from other routers for longer than a fixed period, the router updates the contents of routing table and establishes another path to avoid

Art Unit: 2616

Page 7

the faulty router (protocol packet is a configure packet). Therefore, it would have been obvious that the protocol packet can be a link down packet to notify that a router has failed or a configured packet when the router establishes an alternate path.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tsukakoshi et al. (Pat. 6,496,510 B1);

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to 4:30PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field, can be reached on 571 272 2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh, Nguyen

HANH NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 38-70,111,113-124,126-137,139-150,152-163,165-177,179-191,193-205 and 207-218.